



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,854	05/15/2007	Douglas G. Johnson	0003.06/PCT-US	1320
25871	7590	01/07/2010		
SWANSON & BRATSCUN, L.L.C. 8210 SOUTHPARK TERRACE LITTLETON, CO 80120			EXAMINER OH, TAYLOR V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

efspatents@sbiplaw.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/598,854	JOHNSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 September 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-67 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                    | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

***Lack of Unity***

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-39, 40-41, 52,54-59, 60-66, drawn to a unsolvated crystalline efaproxiral sodium having an X-ray powder diffraction pattern and a crystalline solvate having efaproxiral sodium and the crystalline efaproxiral sodium hydrate and amorphous efaproxiral sodium, and an aqueous solution of efaproxiral sodium and their pharmaceutical formulations and a method of preparing an aqueous solution of efaproxiral sodium and a method of preparing amorphous efaproxiral sodium.

Group II, claim 41 and 51, drawn to a method of preparing Form A crystalline efaproxiral sodium.

Group III, claim 42, and 51 drawn to a method of preparing Form B crystalline efaproxiral sodium.

Group IV, claim 43, and 51 drawn to a method of preparing Form I crystalline efaproxiral sodium.

Group V, claim 44, and 51 drawn to a method of preparing Form J crystalline efaproxiral sodium.

Art Unit: 1625

Group VI, claim 45, and 51 drawn to a method of preparing Form C crystalline efaproxiral sodium.

Group VII, claim 46, and 51 drawn to a method of preparing Form Q crystalline efaproxiral sodium.

Group VIII, claim 47, and 51 drawn to a method of preparing Form G crystalline efaproxiral sodium.

Group IX, claim 48, and 51 drawn to a method of preparing Form F crystalline efaproxiral sodium.

Group X, claim 49, and 51 drawn to a method of preparing Form P crystalline efaproxiral sodium.

Group XI, claims 53 and 67, drawn to a method for treating a condition selected from the group

consisting of whole body or tissue hypothermia, hypoxia or hypotension, wounds, brain injury, diabetic ulcers, chronic leg ulcers, pressure sores, tissue transplants, stroke or cerebro ischemia, ischemia or oxygen deprivation, respiratory disorders including acute respiratory distress syndrome and chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, surgical blood loss, sepsis, multi-system organ failure, normovolemic hemodilution procedures, carbon monoxide poisoning, bypass surgery, carcinogenic tumors, and oxygen deprivation of a fetus comprising the step of administering to a patient suffering from or undergoing said condition a sufficient quantity of the composition.

I. The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (" requirement of unity of invention ").

PCT Rule 13.2 states " Where a group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement of unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression " special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

In the instant case, the inventions of Groups I-X are distinct from one and another because: they are drawn to different products which must be examined based on the chemical and physical nature in comparison with those in the prior art. The search for each polymorphic form and its corresponding solvate and are extremely burdensome and are not co-extensive of each other. Especially, no standard nomenclature has been assigned to various types of polymorphs, each individual polymorph must be searched for its chemical content and structure together with many distinctive physical data for the particular form.

Art Unit: 1625

Whereas the invention of Group XI is the method for treating a condition selected from the group

consisting of whole body or tissue hypothermia, hypoxia or hypotension, wounds, brain injury, diabetic ulcers, chronic leg ulcers, pressure sores, tissue transplants, stroke or cerebro ischemia, ischemia or oxygen deprivation, respiratory disorders including acute respiratory distress syndrome and chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, surgical blood loss, sepsis, multi-system organ failure, normovolemic hemodilution procedures, carbon monoxide poisoning, bypass surgery, carcinogenic tumors, and oxygen deprivation of a fetus comprising the step of administering to a patient suffering from or undergoing said condition a sufficient quantity of the

composition; this is different from the inventions of Groups I-X because the invention of Group XI can be practiced with fused tricyclic compounds disclosed in Li et al (US 6,380,193), which are completely different from efaproxiral sodium.

Therefore, there is no single general inventive concept and no unity of invention among Groups of I-XI due to their corresponding chemical content and structure together with many distinctive physical data for the particular form and their different method or process of preparing each of them as well as the lack of special use defined in 37 CFR 1.475.

37 CFR 1.475 states that a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combination of categories:

- a. A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- b. A product and a process of use of said product; or

Art Unit: 1625

- c. A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- d. A process and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process; or
- e. A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Art Unit: 1625

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Taylor Victor Oh/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625  
1/03/10